

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/580,305	05/26/2000	Anthony A. Shah-Nazaroff	042390.P6484D2	9133		
75	7590 07/17/2006			EXAMINER		
Gordon R Lin		MA, JOHNNY				
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			2623			
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/580,305	SHAH-NAZAROFF ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Johnny Ma	2623	

	Johnny Ma	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 28 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	TILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef will not be entered b	ecance
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	IOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21 See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		50mphane / anonamone	(1.102.02.1).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t hafara ar an tha data of filing a	Notice of Appeal will n	ot he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affic	lavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Please see attached.	t does NOT place the application	າ in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s).	
		CHRIS KELLEY	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Application/Control Number: 09/580,305

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues "that neither Carrubba nor Hjelsvold, either alone or in combination, suggests or describes receiving a selection to buy an upgraded media feature for a programming transmission. The PTO asserts that Carrubba teaches this limitation. See, Carrubba column 1, lines 52-64. However, it is respectfully asserted that Carrubba does not teach this limitation" (see Remarks pgs. 9-10). In support of this assertion, Applicant argues "[i]n Applicants' claim 1, the original program is a 'programming transmission,' Carruba's original program is not transmitted. It is housed on a CD-I disc" (see Remarks, pg. 10) and "[i]t is respectfully asserted that Carruba shows the 'complementary part' being transmitted, not the 'basic part'. The 'basic part' is stored locally. Applicants' claim 1 requires that the 'basic part' be a 'programming transmission' (see Remarks, pg. 11). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As discussed in the previous Office Action:

the Carrubba et al. reference discloses:

"[a]n embodiment for a system according to the invention is characterized in that the storage medium containing the basic part is located near the merging means and in that the other storage medium containing the complementary part is linked to the merging means via a transmission line of a communications network. The communications network is, for example, the public telephone network. The storage medium containing the complementary part is located, for example, in a data bank controlled by the provider. The complementary part stored in the databank is accessible to a plurality of users of a system according to the invention via the telephone network" (Carrubba 1:65-67; 2:1-9) wherein CPU controls the transmission of the media upgrade feature (Carrubba 4:21-32).

Art Unit: 2623

transmissions.

The claimed "programming transmission" is met by the combination of the complementary and basic part of the audio-visual presentation. The examiner respectfully submits that the transmission of the complementary part of the audio-visual presentation combined with the basic part of the audio-visual presentation results in programming that is, at least in part, transmitted and thus meets the claimed programming transmission. Thus the Carrubba et al. reference teaches a "programming transmission" comprising both a basic and complementary part. It is also noted that the Carrubba et al. reference teaches "[w]ith the video-on-demand service, video film data are supplied to CD-I players on request. The databank may be controlled by a provider who provides the basic part free of charge" (Carrubba 4:36-40), the providing of the basic part free of charge by the provider inherently includes some sort of transmission whether via a data channel or through a physical storage medium. The Carrubba et al. reference discloses both types of transmissions. The Carrubba et al. reference discloses "FIG. 11 shows a further embodiment for a system according to the invention. In this embodiment both the first storage medium, on which the basic part is stored, and the second storage medium, on which the complementary part is stored, are coupled to the merging means via the communications network. The storage media are found in different databanks 7', 7". These databanks may be controlled by the same provider who provides the basic part at a low rate and the complementary part at a higher rate" (Carrubba 7:13-21). Thus the examiner respectfully submits that the further embodiment taught by the Carrubba et al. reference clearly teaches that both the basic part and the complementary part are transmitted, program

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny Ma whose telephone number is (571) 272-7351. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jm

CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600